

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

**OPERATING SYSTEM CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING  
A CUSTOMIZED EXECUTION ENVIRONMENT**

INVENTOR

**DR. WILLIAM S. WORLEY, JR.**

Prepared by

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP  
3200 WELLS FARGO CENTER  
1700 LINCOLN STREET  
DENVER, CO 80203  
(303) 607-3500

**EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

"Express Mail" mailing label number EL 971197699 US

Date of Deposit: 2/27/2004

I hereby certify that I am causing this paper or fee to be deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service on the date indicated above and that this paper or fee has been addressed to the Mail Stop Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

DENISE E. VORIS

(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

Denise E. Voris

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

2/27/2004

(Date signed)

## **OPERATING SYSTEM CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING A CUSTOMIZED EXECUTION ENVIRONMENT**

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/451,848 filed March 4, 2003 and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/497,870 filed August 25, 2003, both of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

### **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

[0002] Contained herein is material that is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction of the patent disclosure by any person as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent files or records, but otherwise reserves all rights to the copyright whatsoever. Copyright 2003-2004, Secure64 Software Corporation.

### **BACKGROUND**

#### **Field**

[0003] Embodiments of the present invention generally relate to the field of operating systems. More particularly, embodiments of the present invention relate to enhancement of a general-purpose operating system to function as a symbiotic partner with one or more Customized Execution Environments (CE<sup>2</sup>s).

#### **Description and Shortcomings of the Related Art**

[0004] The approach adopted by modern general-purpose operating systems has been to define and implement multiple levels of abstractions on top of the actual processor hardware. Such abstractions include multiple virtual memories, multiple tasks (a.k.a. processes or threads), files, sockets, interrupt handlers, semaphores, spin locks, time of day clocks, interval timers, etc.

[0005] Some of these abstractions are implemented in the kernels of the respective operating systems, which typically exercise complete control over the actual computational resources of a processor. Such kernels execute at the highest privilege level provided by the processor,

enabling the programs comprised by the kernel to execute the “privileged instructions” of the processor instruction set. Operating system kernels manage the creation, scheduling, coordination, and destruction of instances of such abstractions. They also provide for appropriate handling of the entire range of synchronous and asynchronous faults, traps, aborts, and interruptions defined by the hardware processor architecture.

[0006] Control of integrated or plug-in input/output device control adapters are implemented by programs called drivers (a.k.a. I/O drivers or LAN drivers or <device> drivers, where <device> is a particular peripheral, bus, or function name). Such drivers also are permitted to execute at the highest privilege level provided by the processor. The amount of code comprised by the drivers usually is larger than the code for operating system kernels themselves.

[0007] Other elements implementing the abstractions are built on top of the operating system kernel and I/O drivers. These include file systems, network stacks, synchronization primitives, software signaling mechanisms, sockets interfaces, graphical user interfaces, and various libraries of system services. These elements combine with operating system kernels and I/O drivers to provide an interface to application programs that can be realized on many different hardware platforms.

[0008] Indeed, the primary purpose in defining the multiple levels of abstraction provided by general-purpose operating systems has been to develop application interfaces that can be implemented across systems employing incompatible processor and platform architectures. Such portability has been the *summum bonum* for operating systems. This has been true, in particular, for the UNIX, Linux, and Windows operating systems (ULW operating systems). To date, Linux has enjoyed great success in being ported to many incompatible hardware platforms.

[0009] While the program of defining and implementing the multiple layers of abstraction found in today’s ULW operating systems has been successful in achieving portability, the result has not been achieved without performance penalties and other negative effects. Two primary such effects will be called the “lowest common denominator” effect and the “semantic mismatch” effect. The first of these effects has resulted in the inability of ULW operating systems to benefit from powerful capabilities present only on some processors. The latter effect

manifests either in excessive performance overheads or in system-level functional deficiencies such as scalability and security.

**[00010]** Operating system portability, particularly in ULW systems, has in practice led to two basic categories of consensus. First, there is a broad consensus among the ULW systems as to which abstractions are supported. One cannot find, for example, significant differences among the virtual memory, process-thread-task, file, network, and interruption abstractions of the ULW systems. Second, there is a consensus as to which set of hardware capabilities are supported. This set of capabilities properly can be labeled the architectural “lowest common denominator.”

**[00011]** In the mid 1960s, with the introduction of IBM’s System/360, the operating system structure based upon two hardware-enforced levels of privilege was established. The operating system kernel (at the time called the “Nucleus”) and other critical system control code executed at the high hardware privilege level. Other code, including application codes, executed at the low hardware privilege level.

**[00012]** Although several important instruction set architectures have offered four levels of hardware privilege the ULW operating systems never have supported four levels of privilege because such support could not run upon those processors still offering only two levels of hardware privilege. In fact, due to the hardware lowest common denominator effect, the ULW operating systems persist in supporting the 1960’s privilege model, with some extensions for read, write, and execute privilege controls. The only truly significant change has been the explosive growth in the amount of code that now executes at the highest level of hardware privilege, a result neither intended nor foreseen by the IBM System/360 architects. Even more powerful addressing control capabilities, such as those offered by PA-RISC® and the Itanium® systems, remain entirely unused by ULW operating systems.

**[00013]** For highly secure systems, in particular, there is compelling need to use finer-grained memory protection capabilities, beyond those that are common to every manufacturer’s processors. Support for such capabilities is simply unavailable from any of the principal general-purpose operating systems thereby making more difficult the construction of secure operating systems.

**[00014]** The first category of abstraction consensus provided by the ULW operating systems, like the lowest common denominator consensus regarding hardware features, also results in the collection of functional shortcomings we call the semantic mismatch effect. While the generally accepted operating system abstractions are suitable for a broad class of applications, they are not ideal in every case. No computing structure can be all things to all applications, but force-fitting all applications into solely the generally accepted abstractions is precisely the result of requiring that all applications operate within the ULW operating systems.

**[00015]** Some applications simply cannot work within the limitations of such constraints. Obvious examples are real-time applications, where the system must respond within strict time constraints. General-purpose operating systems usually provide parameters for tuning themselves for the best responses they are able to achieve. However, they cannot always meet the requirements of real-time applications. System designers have addressed such problems in various ways. Some have enveloped a general-purpose operating system within an underlying real-time kernel. In this structure, a real-time structure controls the applications that require guaranteed responsiveness, and the general-purpose operating system controls the rest. Other designers have chosen specialized real-time operating systems, and simply abandoned the attempt to use general-purpose operating systems.

**[00016]** Other applications can be made to function within general-purpose operating systems, but only at the cost of overheads that can substantially reduce system performance. The abstractions provided by the principal general-purpose operating systems are realized only by the expenditure of hardware cycles. The abstractions also have been found not to be low overhead constructs, particularly when considering scalability and security. Defensive validation of arguments is needed at many interfaces, to protect system integrity. Privilege layer crossings can require switching of software (and hardware) stacks. In Helen Custer's book describing the construction of Microsoft's first NT system there is a recurring theme of elegant levels of abstraction leading to unacceptable performance overheads, resulting in redesign and "fast-path" implementations. These occurrences were within the Windows operating system itself. The same

syndrome can manifest itself at application levels, where the underlying abstractions offered by the operating system are not a close semantic fit with the needs of the application.

**[00017]** Consequently, if an application's objectives include maximum possible throughput, shortest possible response, and absence of security vulnerabilities the consensus abstractions of general-purpose operating systems can constitute impediments to meeting these objectives.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

[00018] Embodiments of the present invention are illustrated by way of example, and not by way of limitation, in the figures of the accompanying drawings and in which like reference numerals refer to similar elements and in which:

[00019] **Figure 1** is an example of a computer system with which embodiments of the present invention may be utilized.

[00020] **Figures 2A and 2B** conceptually illustrate partitioning of system resources.

[00021] **Figure 3** conceptually illustrates the relationships among an SGPOS, system resources, and  $C^2E^2$ 's according to one embodiment of the present invention.

[00022] **Figure 4** is a simplified flow diagram conceptually illustrating SGPOS boot processing,  $C^2E^2$  initialization, and SGPOS processing according to one embodiment of the present invention.

[00023] **Figure 5** is a simplified block diagram conceptually illustrating SGPOS boot processing and  $C^2E^2$  initialization according to one embodiment of the present invention.

[00024] **Figure 6A** conceptually illustrates a computer system configured to provide secure web server functionality according to one embodiment of the present invention in which the SGPOS retains control of a partition of system resources.

[00025] **Figure 6B** conceptually illustrates a computer system configured to provide secure web server functionality according to an alternative embodiment of the present invention in which the SGPOS is placed in a dormant state and surrenders complete control of system resources to a  $CE^2$ .

## SUMMARY

**[00026]** Operating system methods and techniques for supporting custom execution environments (CE<sup>2</sup>s) are described. According to one embodiment, a determination is made with respect to which system resources of a computer system, if any, are to remain under control of a resident operating system of the computer system and which of the system resources are to be placed under control of one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. The system resources are then partitioned among the resident operating system and the one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s by associating one or more partitions of the system resources with the one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s.

**[00027]** Other features of embodiments of the present invention will be apparent from the accompanying drawings and from the detailed description that follows.



## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

**[00028]** Methods and techniques for implementing a Symbiotic General-Purpose Operating System (SGPOS) are described. Broadly stated, embodiments of the present invention seek to provide a general-purpose operating system with the new abilities to initialize, support and/or coexist with one or more custom execution environments. Consequently, according to embodiments of the present invention a general-purpose operating system may be evolved into a SGPOS that enables it to offer a set of application software execution environments providing not only those abstractions supported by the general-purpose operating system itself, but also those offered by one or a plurality of custom execution environments (CE<sup>2</sup>s) in which: (1) each CE<sup>2</sup> constitutes the execution environment for a single application, and exclusively manages a subset of the hardware resources allocated to it by the SGPOS; (2) the semantics within each CE<sup>2</sup> can be independent of, and are no longer limited by, the set of general-purpose abstractions provided by the general-purpose operating system; (3) the semantics within each CE<sup>2</sup> can be customized to the needs solely of the application to be executed within that environment; (4) the semantics within each CE<sup>2</sup> can achieve desired properties not readily achievable within the constraints of the abstractions provided by the general-purpose operating system itself, such as minimum computational overheads, linear multiprocessor scalability, and elimination of vulnerabilities to attacks against its integrity and security; (5) within a CE<sup>2</sup>, full use can be made of available hardware capabilities, even if those capabilities are not directly supported by the general-purpose operating system; and (6) each CE<sup>2</sup> can be isolated and protected from access by any other CE<sup>2</sup> or from access by the SGPOS itself.

**[00029]** According to one embodiment, a SGPOS includes a means to partition system resources, a means to initialize and invoke a customized execution environment, a means to isolate the SGPOS and the customized execution environment and protect them from each other, a means to communicate between a partition of the system resources remaining under the control of the SGPOS and a partition of the system resources under the control of the customized execution environment, and a means to reincorporate system resources relinquished by the

customized execution environment as it shuts down, and to return full control of reincorporated system resources to the SGPOS.

**[00030]** Once the system resources, such as processors, physical memory, storage devices, virtual memory identifier values, input/output (I/O) devices, and exception delivery, are partitioned into one or more partitions, the SGPOS may transfer full control of at least one of the partitions to a separate customized execution environment. The customized execution environment then has direct access and control over the system resources within its partition. That is, there are no operating system abstractions interposed between the customized execution environment and the system resources allocated to the customized execution environment. Advantageously, with the operating system abstractions out of the way, the customized execution environment may implement a computational and/or I/O structure that is simpler, is tuned for a particular application, and can take advantage of certain processor or other system resource features that are not exploited by the SGPOS.

**[00031]** Additionally, to the extent the SGPOS and the customized execution environment run concurrently within their respective partitions, the customized execution environment need not duplicate general-purpose capabilities already present in the SGPOS, but rather may focus solely upon the software structure best suited to its specific application. It then can obtain general-purpose services by communicating with the SGPOS. For example, the customized execution environment may obtain system administration, control of peripheral devices outside of its partition, system boot and configuration initialization, partitioning of system resources, non-critical operating system services, and services supplied by a user application running under the SGPOS, etc. from the SGPOS via a communication channel maintained between the partitions of the SGPOS and the customized execution environment.

**[00032]** In the following description, for the purposes of explanation, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of embodiments of the present invention. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art that embodiments of the present invention may be practiced without some of these specific details. In other instances, well-known structures and devices are shown in block diagram form.

**[00033]** Embodiments of the present invention include various steps, which will be described below. The steps may be performed by operator configuration, hardware components, or may be embodied in machine-executable instructions, which may be used to cause a general-purpose or special-purpose processor programmed with the instructions to perform the steps. Alternatively, the steps may be performed by a combination of operator configuration, hardware, software, and/or firmware.

**[00034]** Embodiments of the present invention may be provided as a computer program product, which may include a machine-readable medium having stored thereon instructions that may be used to program a computer (or other electronic devices) to perform a process. The machine-readable medium may include, but is not limited to, magnetic disks, floppy diskettes, optical disks, compact disc read-only memories (CD-ROMs, CD-Rs, CD-RWs), digital versatile disks (DVD-ROM, DVD+RW), and magneto-optical disks, ROMs, random access memories (RAMs), erasable programmable read-only memories (EPROMs), electrically erasable programmable read-only memories (EEPROMs), magnetic or optical cards, flash memory, or other type of media / machine-readable medium suitable for storing electronic instructions. Moreover, embodiments of the present invention may also be downloaded as a computer program product, wherein the program may be transferred from a remote computer to a requesting computer by way of data signals embodied in a carrier wave or other propagation medium via a communication link (e.g., a modem or network connection).

### Terminology

**[00035]** Brief definitions of terms and phrases used throughout this application are given below.

**[00036]** The terms “connected” or “coupled” and related terms are used in an operational sense and are not necessarily limited to a direct physical connection or coupling.

**[00037]** The phrase “Concurrent Customized Execution Environment” or “C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>” generally refers to a Customized Execution Environment that coexists with a general-purpose operating system and shares at least a means of communication with the general-purpose operating system.

**[00038]** The phrase “Customized Execution Environment” or “CE<sup>2</sup>” generally refers to a customized operating environment itself, in which there is provided a set of system services implemented in software having direct access and full control over a portion of system resources. CE<sup>2</sup>s are quite distinct from an operating system or specialized operating system and depending upon the particular embodiment may include one or more of the following features:

1. A CE<sup>2</sup> may comprise both statically linked system code and data modules and application code and data modules;
2. A CE<sup>2</sup> may lack the capability to load or to load and execute any other application;
3. The functional capabilities of a CE<sup>2</sup> may be strictly limited to only those services required by a particular application or small set of predetermined applications;
4. A CE<sup>2</sup> typically falls far short of the capabilities expected of an operating system; specifically, in one embodiment, applications are limited to a single thread of execution in each processor controlled by the CE<sup>2</sup>;
5. The services interfaces of a CE<sup>2</sup> may be simple and specialized for each of one or a small set of particular applications, rather than being comprised by a more complex and general Application Programming Interface (API) for a broad class of applications;
6. Management strategies for system resources sometimes differ entirely from those strategies adopted by traditional general-purpose operating systems;
7. A CE<sup>2</sup> may utilize hardware capabilities not supported by a general-purpose or symbiotic general-purpose operating system;
8. A CE<sup>2</sup> may make substantial use of hardware capabilities not well utilized by a general-purpose or symbiotic general-purpose operating system;
9. The services provided to the application within a CE<sup>2</sup> may be designed to enable an application far more easily to recover and continue from a system error.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, a general-purpose operating system at least temporarily relinquishes control of all or a portion of system resources associated with a

computer system to one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. According to another embodiment, a CE<sup>2</sup> may be booted on hardware directly. For example, a general-purpose operating system may launch a CE<sup>2</sup> without ever taking control over the portion of system resources to be controlled by the CE<sup>2</sup>. In still another embodiment, both the general-purpose operating system and one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s may be booted into distinct hardware partitions such as those provided in the Hewlett Packard Superdome platform. CE<sup>2</sup>s are typically specialized for a particular hardware platform. According to one embodiment, a CE<sup>2</sup> is non-portable and there are no general-purpose operating system abstractions interposed between the customized execution environment and the system resources allocated to the customized execution environment. Typically, system services provided by a CE<sup>2</sup> will implement a simplified computational structure and/or an I/O structure that are tuned for a particular application. For example, a CE<sup>2</sup> may take advantage of certain processor or other system resource features that are not exploited by the general-purpose operating system. According to one embodiment, a tuned CE<sup>2</sup> is provided to support a web edge engine, such as a web server, secure web server, proxy server, secure proxy server or other application or communication servers, to allow the web edge engine to drive the utilization of network connections as close as possible to 100%.

**[00039]** The phrases “in one embodiment,” “according to one embodiment,” and the like generally mean the particular feature, structure, or characteristic following the phrase is included in at least one embodiment of the present invention, and may be included in more than one embodiment of the present invention. Importantly, such phrases do not necessarily refer to the same embodiment.

**[00040]** If the specification states a component or feature “may”, “can”, “could”, or “might” be included or have a characteristic, that particular component or feature is not required to be included or have the characteristic.

**[00041]** The phrase “principal general-purpose operating systems” generally refers to current and future versions of the UNIX, Linux, and Windows operating systems.

**[00042]** The phrase “Symbiotic General-Purpose Operating System” or “SGPOS” generally refers to an operating system, such as one of the principal general-purpose operating systems,

which has been enhanced to include one or more of the following capabilities: (1) a mechanism to manage the resources of a computer system in cooperative partnership with one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s; (2) a mechanism to partition/compartmentalize system resources and transfer control of one or more partitions of system resources, including processors, physical memory and storage devices, virtual memory identifier values, I/O devices, and/or exception delivery, to one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s; and (3) a mechanism to allow communications between partitions of systems resources.

SGPOSs might remain portable or could become specialized for a particular hardware platform.

**[00043]** The term “responsive” includes completely or partially responsive.

**[00044]** The phrase “system resources” generally refers, individually or collectively, to computational resources and/or other resources of a computer system, such as processors, physical memory, storage devices, virtual memory identifier values, input/output (I/O) devices, exception delivery and the like.

**[00045]** The phrases “web engine” and “web edge engine” generally refer to hardware, firmware and/or software that support one or more web protocols.

**[00046]** The phrase “web protocols” generally refers to current and future application layer networking protocols, including, but not limited to HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP), Secure HTTP (S-HTTP), Secure Sockets Layer (SSL), Transport Control Protocol (TCP), Internet Protocol (IP), Transport Layer Security (TLS), Extensible Markup Language (XML), Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP), Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration (UDDI), DHTTP, HTTP/NG, File Transfer Protocol (FTP), Trivial File Transfer Protocol (TFTP), Common Open Policy Service (COPS), Flow Attribute Notification Protocol (FANP), Finger User Information Protocol, Internet Message Access Protocol rev 4 (IMAP4), IP Device Control (IPCD), Internet Message Access Protocol version 4rev1 (ISAKMP), Network Time Protocol (NTP), Post Office Protocol version 3 (POP3), Radius, Remote Login (RLOGIN), Real-time Streaming Protocol (RTSP), Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP), Service Location Protocol (SLP), SMTP - Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP), Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), SOCKS, TACACS+, TELNET, and Web Cache Coordination Protocol (WCCP).

[00047] The phrase “web resource” generally refers to a network data object or service that can be identified by a Universal Resource Identifier (URI).

[00048] The phrase “web server” generally refers to hardware, firmware and/or software that supports one or more web protocols and serves web resources, such as web pages and the output of web applications, to web users. Examples of currently available web servers include Apache available from The Apache Software Foundation; Zeus Web Server available from Zeus Technology with offices in Cambridge, UK and Santa Clara, California; Microsoft’s Internet Information Server (IIS); Novell’s Web Server; and IBM’s family of Lotus Domino servers.

#### Overview

[00049] Secure64™ web edge engines seek to offer the world’s best performance and the world’s best security. Secure64 web edge engines will scale seamlessly from appliances employing single or dual processors, to full web servers employing hundreds of processors and concurrently executing customer applications and dynamic content generators. Advanced content acceleration and cryptographic security and privacy protections will be provided throughout the product line.

[00050] Secure64 web edge engines will support a wide range of current and future web protocols. While for convenience, embodiments of the present invention are described herein with reference to exemplary web edge engines, such as application servers, web servers and proxy servers, the enabling technologies described herein are broad based, and widely applicable to a variety of other network products, including, but not limited to: content accelerators, caching accelerators, firewalls, smart routers, filters, gateways, firewalls, and tunnels. (SECURE64 is a trademark of Secure64 Software Corporation of Englewood, Colorado).

[00051] According to one embodiment, a feature employed by the Secure64 web edge engines to address one or more shortcomings of the principal general-purpose operating systems is the enhancement of an operating system to function as a symbiotic partner with one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. In this manner, the design of each CE<sup>2</sup> may focus upon the simplest means of system control, minimal computational overheads, and the strongest security structure for its particular

application, e.g., content acceleration, caching acceleration, routing, protocol translation, tunneling, serving web content, etc.

**[00052]** An exemplary computer system 100, representing an exemplary server, such as a 2-way HP Server rx1600, a 4-way HP Server rx5670, an HP Server rx2600, or the like, with which various features of the present invention may be utilized, will now be described with reference to Figure 1. In this simplified example, the computer system 100 comprises a bus 130 or other communication means for communicating data and control information, and one or more processors 105, such as Intel® Itanium® or Itanium 2 processors, coupled with bus 130.

**[00053]** Computer system 100 further comprises a random access memory (RAM) or other dynamic storage device (referred to as main memory 115), coupled to bus 130 for storing information and instructions to be executed by processor(s) 105. Main memory 115 also may be used for storing temporary variables or other intermediate information during execution of instructions by processor(s) 115. According to various embodiments of the present invention, main memory 115 may be partitioned via a region-identifier-based memory partitioning mechanism. The resulting partitions may be assigned to one or more processors for exclusive access by such processors using a hardware-based isolation mechanism, such as associating areas of memory with protection keys.

**[00054]** Computer system 100 also comprises a read only memory (ROM) 120 and/or other static storage device coupled to bus 130 for storing static information, such as cryptographic digital signatures associated with initial code and data images of one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s, customized applications, and operating system, and instructions for processor(s) 105.

**[00055]** A mass storage device 125, such as a magnetic disk or optical disc and its corresponding drive, may also be coupled to bus 130 for storing information and instructions, such as an operating system loader, an operating system, one or more customized applications and associated CE<sup>2</sup>s, initialization files, etc.

**[00056]** One or more communication ports 110 may also be coupled to bus 130 for supporting network connections and communication of information to/from the computer system 100 by



way of a Local Area Network (LAN), Wide Area Network (WAN), the Internet, or the public switched telephone network (PSTN), for example. The communication ports 110 may include various combinations of well-known interfaces, such as one or more modems to provide dial up capability, one or more 10/100 Ethernet ports, one or more Gigabit Ethernet ports (fiber and/or copper), one or more network protocol offload boards, or other well-known network interfaces commonly used in internetwork environments. In any event, in this manner, the computer system 100 may be coupled to a number of other network devices, clients, and/or servers via a conventional network infrastructure, such as an enterprise's Intranet and/or the Internet, for example.

[00057] Optionally, operator and administrative interfaces 135, such as a display, keyboard, and a cursor control device, may also be coupled to bus 130 to support direct operator interaction with computer system 100. Other operator and administrative interfaces can be provided through network connections connected through communication ports 110.

[00058] Finally, removable storage media 140, such as one or more external or removable hard drives, tapes, floppy disks, magneto-optical discs, compact disk-read-only memories (CD-ROMs), compact disk writable memories (CD-R, CD-RW), digital versatile discs or digital video discs (DVDs) (e.g., DVD-ROMs and DVD+RW), Zip disks, or USB memory devices, e.g., thumb drives or flash cards, may be coupled to bus 130 via corresponding drives, ports or slots.

[00059] **Figures 2A and 2B** conceptually illustrate partitioning of system resources. In the tabular examples depicted, the rows represent exemplary hardware resources, the columns represent operating environments, and the Xs identify to which operating environment a particular hardware resource or portion of such resources are allocated. Collectively, the Xs in a particular column represent the partition of system resources allocated to the corresponding operating environment.

[00060] According to the partitioning of system resources depicted in **Figure 2A**, the SGPOS has been allocated one or more processor units, one or more banks/ranges of memory, one or more peripheral devices and associated adapter cards and one or more sets of logical resources.

That is, a partition of system resources comprising the aforementioned lists of resources has been identified for the exclusive use of the SGPOS. Similarly, in this example, a partition of system resources comprising one or more processor units, one or more banks/ranges of memory, one or more LAN adapter cards and one or more sets of logical resources has been identified and allocated for the exclusive use of the  $C^2E^2_1$ . Finally, the  $C^2E^2_2$  has been allocated for its exclusive use and management, one or more processor units, one or more banks/ranges of memory, one or more peripheral devices and associated adapter cards, and one or more sets of logical resources.

[00061] While in the simple partitioning examples depicted, a particular type of hardware resource may be allocated to more than one operating environment, there is no requirement that such resources be divided equally. For example, in **Figure 2A**, an X in the Processors row for each of the SGPOS,  $C^2E^2_1$ , and  $C^2E^2_2$  columns indicates at least one processor unit (typically a whole processor) is partitioned or allocated to that particular operating environment, but the number of processor units partitioned to each operating environment is independent of the number partitioned to the others except for the fact that all of the operating environments are drawing from the same limited pool of available hardware resources.

[00062] Similarly, there is no requirement that system resources be divided into uniform or equally provisioned partitions with respect to any individual system resource or collection of system resources. Additionally, the partitions may be static or dynamic. The number and/or type of logical and physical system resources associated with a particular partition may be dynamically reallocated to address needs of the  $CE^2$ s or SGPOS or in response to the launching of a new  $CE^2$  or termination of an existing  $CE^2$ , as examples.

[00063] At this point, it is convenient to discuss various hardware isolation mechanisms that may be employed to protect the partition of system resources allocated to one operating environment from access by another operating environment. Processors in the future are expected to provide hardware-based isolation techniques that will be employed by the SGPOS and/or  $CE^2$ s. Until such hardware-based full-isolation technology is available, however, partitions of system resources allocated to  $CE^2$ s may be protected from the resident operating

system by quiescing the operating system and placing it in a dormant state (in which it is not running anything and utilizes only a minimum set of system resources to allow it to be reactivated). Then, if desired, one or more existing protection mechanisms, such as protection-key-based memory partitions, region identifier allocation, protection identifier allocation, memory page access rights values, may be employed to enforce partition security among and/or within CE<sup>2</sup>s.

[00064] Alternatively, the resident operating system may remain active and a secure-platform interface may be employed to provide the desired level of isolation among partitions, such as the combined-hardware-and-software secure-platform interface described in US Patent Application No. 10/118,646 entitled "Secure Machine Platform that Interfaces to Operating Systems and Customized Control Programs" published on December 19, 2002 as Publication No. 2002/0194389 A1, (the "'646 application") which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[00065] Hardware partitioning capabilities, such as those provided by the HP Superdome platform provide still a third alternative to effect full isolation among partitions. With these means partitions may be statically configured by a system administrator, rather than be constructed dynamically by the SGPOS. Although the partitions are less readily modified, the isolation can be fully enforced by hardware.

[00066] The partitioning of system resources depicted in **Figure 2B**, is representative of a particular state of system resource allocation in which the SGPOS is dormant. Since the SGPOS has no processor units allocated to it, it cannot be running any processes. Other system resource allocation configurations are also consistent with the SGPOS being dormant. For example, when no system resources are allocated to the SGPOS (when the SGPOS column is empty), the SGPOS is dormant and one or more CE<sup>2</sup>(s) would have exclusive control and management of the system resources. Conversely, when the columns associated with the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s) are empty, such as during boot processing or after termination of the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s) and release of their partitions back to the SGPOS, then the SGPOS has exclusive control and management of the system resources.

[00067] **Figure 3** conceptually illustrates relationships among an SGPOS 320, system resource partitions 310, and a  $C^2E^2$  330 according to one embodiment of the present invention. Briefly, embodiments of the present invention support the creation of a secure operating environment that is separate and distinct from the resident operating system. In multi-partition configurations, a portion of system resources is assigned to the secure operating environment and placed under its direct and exclusive control. Remaining portions of the system resources may be assigned to one or more other secure operating environments and/or retained by the resident operating system. In single-partition configurations, the resident operating system may surrender control of substantially all of the system resources of a computer system and place them under the full control of a secure operating environment.

[00068] In the example depicted, a computer system 300 is conceptually illustrated after partitioning and allocation of its resources into two system resource partitions 310, i.e., SGPOS resources 321 and  $C^2E^2$  resources 331. While for ease of discussion and simplicity only two partitions of system resources are illustrated, it is to be understood that embodiments of the present invention are equally applicable to an arbitrary number of partitions allocated to an arbitrary number of SGPOSs and/or  $CE^2$ s. Additionally, while each column could comprise multiple possibly mutually isolated partitions, for purposes of this discussion, each column will be discussed as if it were a single partition.

[00069] According to the conceptual embodiment depicted, the vertical double-ended arrows represent communications between layers within a partition (e.g., intra-partition communications) while the horizontal double-ended arrows represent means of communication between the partitions (i.e., inter-partition communications). Depending upon the needs of the particular applications being supported, the means of communication provided for inter-partition communications may allow communications (1) among all partitions, (2) strictly between partitions remaining under the control of the SGPOS 320 and partitions under control of a  $CE^2$ , such as  $C^2E^2$  330, or (3) controlled according to other criteria. While the inter-partition communications is illustrated in the present embodiment as taking place between applications, it is worth noting that such communications may involve or be via services provided by the SGPOS

Kernel Mode Services 324 and services provided by the non-portable customized control and services 332.

**[00070]** In any event, such inter-partition communication may be employed for multiple purposes, including system initialization, configuration, and control; system debugging and monitoring; communication with network control and monitoring systems such as HP's OpenView or IBM's Tivoli; invocation of operating system, application (e.g., user application or customized application), or CE<sup>2</sup> services from a different environment; and shifting the allocation of hardware and/or logical resources among CE<sup>2</sup>(s) and/or the SGPOS 320. Inter-partition communications may be implemented by various well-known techniques, such as: shared virtual memory, shared one-way virtual memories (one environment only can write; the other only can read), remote procedure call, software interruptions, and internal messages.

**[00071]** The simplified conceptual representation depicted in **Figure 3** is illustrative of the multiple levels of abstractions that are typically logically interposed between applications, such as arbitrary user applications 328, and the underlying system resources, such as SGPOS resources 321, in conventional operating systems, such as the ULW operating systems. Abstractions, such as virtual memories, tasks, files and file systems, sockets and sockets interfaces, network stacks, etc., implemented by user mode services 326, kernel mode services 324 and OS kernel 322, are a significant contributing factor to unacceptably high overhead for performance-critical applications, such as high performance web engines.

**[00072]** In contrast, CE<sup>2</sup>s, such as C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 330, because they are not limited to portability constraints imposed on general-purpose operating systems, may avoid consensus high overhead constructs and abstractions in favor of implementing computational and/or I/O structure that are simplified and optimized for a particular hardware platform and/or application. For example, the non-portable customized control and services 332 offered by C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 330 may directly control C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> resources 331, the underlying system resources 310 allocated for exclusive use and control by C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 330, and may take advantage of certain processor or other system resource features that are not exploited by the SGPOS 320.

[00073] Further efficiencies can be achieved on behalf of CE<sup>2</sup>s, such as C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 330, by excluding general-purpose capabilities, such as system administration, system boot and configuration initialization, and partitioning of system resources, and generation of web content that are already present in the SGPOS 320; and the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s may rely on the SGPOS to provide such general-purpose capabilities.

[00074] While in this example, the system resource partitions 310, i.e., SGPOS resources 321 and C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> resources 331, are depicted as equal sized boxes, potentially implying identical characteristics, there is no requirement that the system resources of the computer system 300 be divided into uniform or equally provisioned partitions with respect to any individual system resource or collection of system resources. For example, one partition may include X processors, Y MB of physical memory, and Z I/O devices while another partition may include R processors, S KB of physical memory, and T I/O devices. Additionally, the system resource partitions 310 may be static or dynamic.

[00075] **Figure 4** is a flow diagram illustrating SGPOS processing according to one embodiment of the present invention. In this example, the SGPOS includes means to manage the system resources of a computer system in cooperative partnership with one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. The SGPOS also includes means to partition system resources, including, but not limited to, processors, physical memory and storage devices, virtual memory identifier values, I/O devices, and exception delivery. These means also cover the case in which all or substantially all system resources are transferred to one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. In this case, the SGPOS remains in a state of passivity until such time as a CE<sup>2</sup> returns control of a partition of resources to the SGPOS.

[00076] The SGPOS further includes means to initialize and invoke a CE<sup>2</sup>, to inform the CE<sup>2</sup> of the system resources and/or system management duties being placed under its direct and full control, and to employ hardware isolation and protection mechanisms to protect the SGPOS environment from CE<sup>2</sup>s. For a secure system, these means also include the ability to extend a chain of trust into the CE<sup>2</sup>s, by validating the initial code and data images of the CE<sup>2</sup>s, associated

non-portable customized control and services, and customized applications, using cryptographic digital signatures.

**[00077]** No current general-purpose operating system provides the above capabilities.

Existing operating systems have been built under the assumption that complete control of the system resources never passes from the direct control of the kernel. While virtual machine systems virtualize the resources the general-purpose operating system believes to be under its control, virtual machine kernels retain full control over the actual system resources, and therefore lack the ability to act as a symbiotic partner with a CE<sup>2</sup>.

**[00078]** According to the embodiment depicted, the SGPOS processing generally breaks down into a pre-CE<sup>2</sup> stage (before any CE<sup>2</sup>s are operational) and a CE<sup>2</sup> operational stage (during which at least one CE<sup>2</sup> is running and retains control of a portion of system resources). The pre-CE<sup>2</sup> stage is represented by blocks 410 through 440 and the CE<sup>2</sup> operational stage is represented by a loop among blocks 440 through 480. Briefly, the pre-CE<sup>2</sup> stage involves allocating available system resources among the SGPOS and one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s and launching the one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. During the CE<sup>2</sup> operational stage, the SGPOS (1) responds to requests from the one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s, (2) potentially reallocates system resources, initializes and launches new or reestablished CE<sup>2</sup>s, and (3) reincorporates system resources relinquished by CE<sup>2</sup>s.

**[00079]** SGPOS processing commences at block 410 where system boot is performed. System boot processing typically includes performing processor self-test routines and executing firmware instructions stored in a ROM to cause an operating system loader program to be read from a mass storage device and executed. The system boot process may be a normal boot in which the SGPOS relinquishes a subset of system resources or all system resources to one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s. Alternatively, the system boot process may be a partial boot in which the SGPOS never obtains control over system resources to be controlled by the CE<sup>2</sup>s.

**[00080]** According to one embodiment, the SGPOS and one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s are part of a secure system. In a secure system, it is important to know that the software image controlling the system resources is complete, correct, and has not been subjected to any alteration or other form

of tampering. Consequently, in such an embodiment, the system boot is a secure boot process to support the extension of a chain of trust into the CE<sup>2</sup>s.

[00081] In the future, it is expected that computer systems will offer the capability to boot securely. One way this can be done is described in the '646 application. Figure 19 of the '646 application illustrates a secure boot process based upon digital signatures. The initial firmware image digital signature is validated by hardware. The digital signature of each subsequent firmware or software image is validated by its preceding firmware or software image before control is passed to that subsequent image. In this manner, a chain of trust can be constructed, rooted in the initial firmware image, and extending through every image of firmware, operating system software, and application software.

[00082] At block 420, the SGPOS receives information regarding one or more C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s that are to receive control of a portion of the system resources. For example, the SGPOS may read an initialization file or partition descriptor that identifies the desired allocation of system resources and the code images of non-portable customized control and services for the one or more C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s. Alternatively, the partition descriptor information may be provided by way of operator directives. In the context of a secure system, at this point in the SGPOS processing, the images for the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s and associated non-portable customized control and services may be loaded and authenticated by validating the digital signatures of the software images.

[00083] At block 430, the SGPOS partitions system resources in accordance with the partition descriptor and then isolates those of the system resources that are to remain under its control to protect the SGPOS resources, if any, from the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s). In practice, it is expected that an entire processor will be the minimum unit of partitioning with respect to processing resources of a computer system. Consequently, individual microprocessors normally would be controlled either completely by the SGPOS or by a C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>, but finer grained control of an individual processor is possible at a higher computational cost of switching between environments.

[00084] According to one embodiment, the SGPOS provides interrupt handlers for all interrupt requests originating from within its partition. Based on the system resources retained by the SGPOS, the SGPOS may disable interrupts, update appropriate interrupt vectors, and then



enable interrupts. For example, interrupt vectors associated with external interrupt requests corresponding to hardware system resources partitioned to the SGPOS may be updated in the interrupt vector table to identify an address of an appropriate interrupt handler routine in the SGPOS's partition, while interrupt vectors associated with external interrupt requests corresponding to hardware system resources partitioned to a CE<sup>2</sup> may be masked or otherwise temporarily disabled until the CE<sup>2</sup> makes appropriate changes to the interrupt vector table to direct such interrupt requests to an appropriate handler routine in its partition.

[00085] The SGPOS may also reconfigure I/O. According to one embodiment, such reconfiguration includes forming appropriate associations between reserved areas of main memory in the SGPOS partition with memory-mapped I/O devices in the SGPOS partition. For example, a network device may be reconfigured so that data written to the device via a reserved area of main memory addresses is copied by memory mapping hardware to corresponding device controller memory addresses, and data read from the device via a reserved areas of main memory addresses is loaded into designated processor registers by the memory mapping hardware. Similar I/O reconfiguration may be performed by the CE<sup>2</sup>(s) during their initialization processing.

[00086] According to one embodiment, isolation of the system resources to be retained by the SGPOS may be achieved by utilizing one or more of the hardware or software isolation and protection capabilities discussed earlier, such as quiescing the SGPOS, employing a secure-platform interface, or utilizing hardware platform partitioning.

[00087] Regardless of the protection mechanism employed, in this example, before invoking the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s), the SGPOS fences off memory resources and other system resources that are to be used exclusively by the SGPOS or otherwise limits access to such system resources. The C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s) may do the same during their initialization processing. Existing hardware isolation mechanisms are known not to be sufficient fully to protect partitions of system resources allocated to C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s from the resident operating system, but remain viable for protection within or among C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>s. However, as noted above, in embodiments in which system resources are allocated only among one or more C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup>(s), in which the SGPOS is placed in a state of passivity, one or more current or

future protection mechanisms, such as the advanced memory protection architectures provided by Hewlett-Packard's PA-RISC and IA-64 systems, or similar security technologies, may be employed to secure partitions within or among  $C^2E^2$ s. In such embodiments, for example, before entering a state of passivity, the SGPOS may allocate physical memory and sets of region identifiers to the  $CE^2(s)$  and set memory attributes accordingly to associate the regions of memory with the corresponding system resources that are to be placed under the control of the  $CE^2(s)$ .

**[00088]** Typically, memory will be partitioned into pages (i.e., memory pages). A memory page is a basic unit of memory with respect to I/O operations, virtual-memory-to-physical-memory mapping, isolation and protection attributes, and other types of operating system activities. In the IA-64 embodiment, exclusive domains may be enforced with region identifier and protection-key-based memory partitions. Using one or more of region identifier allocation, protection identifier allocation, memory page access rights values, and protection-key-based memory partitions, particular virtually addressed areas of memory, such as groups of discontinuous or contiguous memory pages, can be effectively associated with one or more processors that are to be placed under the control of one or more  $CE^2$ s. According to another embodiment, memory protection domains may be implemented as described in Witchel et al., "Mondrian Memory Protection", October 2002, ASPLOS-X, Tenth International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems.

**[00089]** Returning to the flow diagram of the present embodiment, once the system resources are partitioned and the SGPOS has been appropriately isolated, at block 440, the SGPOS transfers full control of one partition of system resources to a  $C^2E^2$  by invoking the  $C^2E^2$  and communicating to the  $C^2E^2$  the system resources being placed under its control. According to this example, it is the  $C^2E^2$ 's responsibility to appropriately secure its own partition by isolating itself from the SGPOS and other  $C^2E^2$ s that may concurrently run within other system resource partitions.

**[00090]** At this point, one or more  $C^2E^2$ s are operating and processing loops among blocks 440 through 480 until all  $C^2E^2$ s terminate and SGPOS processing is terminated. Recall,

according to one embodiment, the SGPOS may be placed in a dormant state after it has initialized and launched one or more  $C^2E^2$ s. In the present embodiment however, after initializing and launching one or more  $C^2E^2$ s, the SGPOS continues to decision block 450 and remains responsive to  $C^2E^2$  and operator requests.

[00091] At decision block 450, the SGPOS determines the nature of an outside request (e.g., one originated by a  $C^2E^2$  or an operator) and initiates appropriate processing in response thereto. The mechanism for being made aware of the existence of such requests may be via polling, interrupts, software signals or other appropriate signaling means, and the mechanism for receiving such requests may be via an internal messaging interface, an external interface (e.g., for web protocol requests relating to dynamic content), a communication interface, internal RPC, etc. At any rate, according to the embodiment depicted, there are three general types of requests that are handled during the  $C^2E^2$  operational stage, i.e., a request from a  $C^2E^2$ , an operator directive to launch a new  $C^2E^2$  or reestablish a  $C^2E^2$ , and a return of  $C^2E^2$  resources. If the SGPOS determines a request from a  $C^2E^2$  has been received, processing continues with block 460. If the SGPOS determines an operator directive to launch a new  $C^2E^2$  or reestablish a  $C^2E^2$  has been received, then processing continues with block 470. If the SGPOS determines a  $C^2E^2$  is returning system resources, then processing continues with block 480.

[00092] At block 460, in response to a request from a  $C^2E^2$ , the SGPOS prepares the results and services the request by posting the results where the  $C^2E^2$  can access them.

[00093] At block 470, in response to a request to receipt of directives relating to launching of a new  $C^2E^2$  or reestablishing a former  $C^2E^2$ , the SGPOS allocates system resources for the new  $C^2E^2$  and then proceeds to block 440.

[00094] At block 480, in response to return of system resources initiated by a  $C^2E^2$ , the SGPOS, with the cooperation of the  $C^2E^2$ , reincorporates the system resources into those under its control. For example, the SGPOS and  $C^2E^2$  may reintegrate interrupts and pass responsibility for subsequent management of I/O devices to the SGPOS by reconfiguring I/O.

[00095] **Figure 5** is a simplified block diagram conceptually illustrating SGPOS boot processing and C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> initialization according to one embodiment of the present invention. In this simplified view of a multiprocessor server 500, only two processors (i.e., SGPOS processor 510 and C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> processor 515), a mass storage device 520, such as an internal hard drive, and memory 560 are shown.

[00096] In this example, the mass storage device 520 stores instructions and data for at least one SGPOS 540, at least one customized application 530, and at least one C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 550 that implements a computational and/or I/O structure that is tuned for the customized application 530 and takes advantage of certain processor or other system resource features that are not exploited by the SGPOS 540. The mass storage device 520 also stores digital signatures 545 and 546 for the SGPOS loader and the SGPOS images, a digital signature 535 for the customized application 530, a digital signature 555 for the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 550, and an optional initialization file or partition descriptor 541 for communicating to the SGPOS 540 information about the existence and system resource requirements of C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 550 and providing information regarding partition configuration.

[00097] The SGPOS processor 510 and C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> processor 515 may use the same operating system image or boot independently (e.g., separately load an operating system loader program and the same or different operating system images as well as verify digital signatures 545 and 546 for the SGPOS loader and SGPOS images). One processor, the SGPOS processor 510, may remain under the full control of the SGPOS 540 and the other processor, the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> processor 515, may be placed under the full control of the C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> 550. As described earlier, the SGPOS 540 and C<sup>2</sup>E<sup>2</sup> will typically each isolate their respective system resource partitions, including, for example, memory ranges 570 and 580, using hardware isolation. For example, memory 560 is represented as a number of pages (e.g., memory page 565). Various advanced memory protection architectures provide isolation mechanisms, such as region identifiers, protection identifiers, and memory page access rights. An appropriate identifier value 566 may be associated with a memory page to assign it to a particular system resource partition. Such identifier values may be directly incorporated as fields within the data structures that describe the

virtual mappings to the memory pages, as well as recorded in associated memory control data structures.

[00098] While in this example images are loaded from a local storage device, in alternative embodiments, such as in an environment in which a computer system is booted over a network (e.g., an IP network) without access to an internal hard disk, it is contemplated that one or more of the images could be provided via communications ports from one or more network accessible storage devices.

[00099] **Figure 6A** conceptually illustrates a computer system 600 configured to provide a secure high-performance processing environment for a web server according to one embodiment of the present invention in which the SGPOS 625 retains control of a partition 629 of system resources. The system configuration depicted is illustrative of an exemplary multi-partition configuration that is supported by the existence of appropriate hardware-based isolation features in the processor, associated chipset or an intermediate interface, such as the secure-platform interface discussed above, interposed between the operating environments (e.g., the operating system and CE<sup>2</sup>(s)) and the system resources. Until such isolation features are widely available, platform hardware partitioning or a more typical single-partition configuration, such as that illustrated in **Figure 6B**, is expected to be the configuration of choice for secure systems.

[000100] At any rate, returning to the present example, the computer system 600 is conceptually illustrated after allocation of its system resources 610 (in accordance with the processing described with reference to **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**, for example) between partition 629 associated with SGPOS 625 which provides services to a dynamic content generator 620, and partition 639 associated with CE<sup>2</sup> 635, which provides services to a secure web server 630. As noted above, because the CE<sup>2</sup> 635 is not limited to the portability constraints imposed on the SGPOS 625 and general-purpose operating systems as a whole, it can implement a computational and/or I/O structure that are simplified and optimized for the particular underlying hardware platform (e.g., one or more Intel Itanium 2 processors and associated chipsets) and/or a particular customized application (e.g., a secure proxy server or a secure web server 630).

**[000101]** The present example illustrates one possible system configuration, which when employing future hardware isolation capabilities or current hardware platform partitioning, allows web server security and performance to be enhanced while maintaining the ability to run other customer applications by supporting the concurrent and cooperative execution of a resident operating system, the SGPOS 625, and an operating environment, the CE<sup>2</sup> 635, that is separate from the resident operating system.

**[000102]** **Figure 6B** conceptually illustrates a computer system 600 configured to provide a secure high-performance processing environment for a web server 630 according to an alternative embodiment of the present invention. The system configuration depicted is illustrative of a possible system configuration in which the resident operating system, after initializing and launching the CE<sup>2</sup> 635, surrenders complete control of all or substantially all of the system resources 611 to the CE<sup>2</sup> 635 and is then placed in a dormant state from which it can be revived upon release of the system resources 611 by the CE<sup>2</sup> 635. Either hardware platform partitioning or a system configuration in which the SGPOS 625 is quiesced and full control of all or substantially all of the system resources 611 is placed in one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s, are expected to be the predominate configurations until the anticipated hardware-based isolation features are both available and achieve industry acceptance. To the extent isolation is desirable within and/or between CE<sup>2</sup> partitions, current or future advanced memory protection architectures, such as region identifiers, protection identifiers, and memory page access rights, may be used as discussed above.

### Problems Solved

**[000103]** The ability of a SGPOS to initialize, support, and/or coexist with one or more CE<sup>2</sup>s solves many problems faced by applications with objectives that could benefit from use of the full capabilities of a particular hardware platform – such as a web engine’s goals of minimum computational overhead, maximum performance, and strongest security. Specifically, a CE<sup>2</sup> is not limited by the same portability constraints as a principal general-purpose operating system. It

also does not require the full generality of an operating system, and normally would be significantly simpler and smaller than an operating system. Not necessarily having to be portable, it fully can utilize unique hardware capabilities, can design a scheduling and I/O structure tuned for a particular application, and can reduce computational overheads only to those essential for a targeted, customized application. Greater simplicity is well recognized as an advantage for the design of a secure system.

**[000104]** Further, customized execution environments need not duplicate general-purpose capabilities already present in the SGPOS, but may focus solely upon the software structure best suited to their specific applications while obtaining general-purpose services from the SGPOS.

**[000105]** In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.

---